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#### Abstract

The $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ fluorides ( $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{If}}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zr}$ ) is analysed by means of a microscopic model, developed in the framework of Landau theory, including two coupled order parameters, namely the elasticstrain with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry, and the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Zr}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$ octahedra rotation coordinate. The model is able to account for the first-order type of this transition, together with its 'improper' ferroelastic character. In addition, expressions are derived to account for the static and dynamic properties of the order parameters, which are able to reproduce consistently the experimental data obtained in the previous two papers.


## 1. Introduction

The first-order structural phase transition occurring in ordered fluorides with formula $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Zn}\right)$ has been studied by means of neutron and x -ray diffraction [1] as well as Brillouin and Raman scattering measurements [2]. From the experimental results so obtained, it has been shown that the transition connects a high-temperature cubic phase, of the ordered $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ type [3], with space group $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} m$, to a low-temperature rhombohedral modification, with space group $R \overline{3}$. Furthermore, it has been concluded that the transition is ferrodistortive and 'improper' ferroelastic, driven by $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Zr}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$ octahedra rotations. The lattice instability is induced by zone-centre rotatory soft modes, so that the transition mechanism is essentially of displacive nature. However, an orderdisorder contribution may also take place in the close vicinity of the transition temperature [1,2].

The purpose of this paper (III), which is the last one of the series, is to describe the $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ ordered fluorides by means of a microscopic model developed in the framework of Landau theory. This model includes two coupled order parameters, $e$ and $R$, corresponding respectively to the $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ strain and the $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ rotatory coordinate of the octahedra, the temperature dependence of which has been measured by means of neutron diffraction experiments [1]. Then, a phase diagram is obtained, including the cubic phase $F m \overline{3} m(e=0, R=0)$ and two different rhombohedral phases, with $R \overline{3} m(e \neq 0, R=0)$ and $R \overline{3}(e \neq 0, R \neq 0)$ symmetries, which makes possible the occurrence of a first-order transition from $F m \overline{3} m$ to $R \overline{3}$, as observed experimentally. The susceptibilities of the order parameters will also be considered through this model, and compared to the Brillouin and Raman scattering results [2]; hence, the mutual consistency of all experimental data will be established.

## 2. The model

### 2.1. Basic statements

From general group-theoretical considerations [2], the $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition involves at least two order parameters, let us say $\eta$ and $\xi$, with $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry respectively. This comes merely from the fact that compatibility relations between $F m \overline{3} m$ and $R \overline{3}$ space groups lead to the following correlation schemes, $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{g}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, so that each one of $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ representations of $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} m$ contains the unity representation $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ of $R \overline{3}$. A non-linear coupling term, i.e. an invariant of the form $\eta^{2} \xi$, may exist because the symmetrised square of the $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ representation $\left(\eta^{2}\right)$ contains $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\xi)\left(\left[\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right]^{2}=\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right.$; similarly, it is found that $\left[\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right]^{2}=\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ $+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ). On the other hand, the $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ representation fulfils the Landau criterion, since the symmetrised cube does not contain the unity representation of the space $\operatorname{group}\left(\left[\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right]^{3}=\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right.$ ). In contrast, the order parameter $\xi$ with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry determines the presence of a cubic polynomial in the free-energy expansion $\left(\left[\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right]^{3}=\right.$ $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}+\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ). Finally, both order parameters $\eta$ and $\xi$ satisfy the Lifschitz condition according to which the antisymmetrised square of the corresponding representation must not contain the representation of a vector $\left(\left\{\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right\}^{2} \equiv\left\{\mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right\}^{2}=\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$.

Let us now consider the specific characteristics of the $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition in $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ compounds. Three order parameters, which completely describe the extent of lattice distortion through the phase transition, have been determined [1, 2]:
(i) The spontaneous strain $e_{s}$, related to the strain tensor components with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry in the parent phase Fm 3 m .
(ii) The internal deformation of the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Zr}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$ octahedra, specified by a coordinate $Q$ with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry.
(iii) The rotation of the $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Zr}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$ octahedra around the threefold axis, specified by a coordinate $R$ with $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry.

Thus, a complete expansion of the Landau free energy will include these three order parameters, which can couple to each other either linearly ( $e Q$ ) or non-linearly ( $e R^{2}$ and $Q R^{2}$ ). In fact, we shall not consider such a complex thermodynamic potential since the $\nu_{5}$ bending mode of the octahedra, associated with the order parameter $Q$, behaves as a 'hard' mode [2]. This is related to the very small values of $Q$ measured in the rhombohedral phase, and means that the corresponding potential is quasi-harmonic. This quasiharmonic potential, developed in terms of a harmonic part of the form $\frac{1}{2} M_{E} \omega_{E} Q^{2}$ slightly perturbed by anharmonic coupling terms between $Q$ and $e$ (see section 2.5) will be considered separately, in order to account for the splitting of the $\nu_{5}$ mode in the rhombohedral phase. Thus, we are left with a free-energy expansion including two coupled order parameters $e\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$ and $R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$.

### 2.2. The free-energy expansion

The preceding statements completely determine the form of the free-energy expansion and according to standard group-theoretical procedure, we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \Phi\left(e_{i} R_{j}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \\
& A_{1}\left(e_{4}^{2}+e_{5}^{2}+e_{6}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3} B e_{4} e_{5} e_{6}+\frac{1}{4} C\left(e_{4}^{4}+e_{5}^{4}+e_{6}^{4}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} D\left(e_{4}^{2} e_{5}^{2}+e_{4}^{2} e_{6}^{2}+e_{5}^{2} e_{6}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} A_{2}\left(R_{1}^{2}+R_{2}^{2}+R_{3}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} F\left(R_{1}^{4}+R_{2}^{4}+R_{3}^{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2} G\left(R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2}+R_{1}^{2} R_{3}^{2}+R_{2}^{2} R_{3}^{2}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& +H\left(e_{4} R_{2} R_{3}+e_{5} R_{1} R_{3}+e_{6} R_{1} R_{2}\right)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

In this expression, $e_{4}, e_{5}$ and $e_{6}$ are the three components of the $\mathrm{F}_{2 g}$ strain tensor, and $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ are the three components of $R\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}\right)$. For the sake of simplification, biquadratic coupling terms of the form $e^{2} R^{2}$ have been omitted; also, the $\mathrm{A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ $\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(2 e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}, e_{2}-e_{3}\right)$ strain tensor components as well as their coupling terms with $R$ are not considered, since these quantities are not directly related to the transition mechanism [1, 2].

We shall restrict ourselves to the discussion of rhombohedral solutions of the form $[4,5]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{4}= \pm e_{5}= \pm e_{6}= \pm(1 / \sqrt{3}) e  \tag{2}\\
& R_{1}= \pm R_{2}= \pm R_{3}= \pm(1 / \sqrt{3}) R
\end{align*}
$$

which means that we consider an effective potential $\Delta \Phi(e, R)$ such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi(e, R)=\frac{1}{2} A_{1} e^{2}-\frac{1}{3} B^{\prime} e^{3}+\frac{1}{4} C^{\prime} e^{4}+\frac{1}{2} A_{2} R^{2}+\frac{1}{4} F^{\prime} R^{4}+H^{\prime} e R^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B^{\prime}= \pm(1 / 3 \sqrt{3}) B & C^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}(C+2 D) \\
F^{\prime}=\frac{1}{3}(F+2 G) & H^{\prime}= \pm(1 / \sqrt{3}) H
\end{array}
$$

The sign of $B^{\prime}$ depends only on the domain considered. The sign of $H^{\prime}$ depends on the relative directions of the strain and of the octahedra rotation. So, the combinations $B^{\prime}>0, H^{\prime}>0$ and $B^{\prime}<0, H^{\prime}<0$ on the one hand and $B^{\prime}>0, H^{\prime}<0$ and $B^{\prime}<0$, $H^{\prime}>0$ on the other correspond respectively to energetically equivalent solutions. In the following, we shall consider only the non-equivalent combinations $B^{\prime}>0, H^{\prime}>0$ and $B^{\prime}>0, H^{\prime}<0$; this choice of $B^{\prime}>0$ means that, in the rhombohedral phase, the minimum of the potential will correspond to a positive value of the spontaneous strain $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ as defined in paper I [1]. Finally, in order to achieve the stability of the potential with respect to the elastic strain and to the rotatory mode, we put $C^{\prime}>0$ and $F^{\prime}>0$.

### 2.3. The phase diagram

The crystalline phase that is stable at a given temperature corresponds to the absolute minimum of $\Delta \Phi(e, R)$; in particular, this implies the cancellation of the first derivatives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \Delta \Phi / \partial e=\left(A_{1}-B^{\prime} e+C^{\prime} e^{2}\right) e+H^{\prime} R^{2}=0 \\
& \partial \Delta \Phi / \partial R=\left(A_{2}+F^{\prime} R^{2}\right) R+2 H^{\prime} e R=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

This system of coupled equations determines the existence of three distinct phases: phase I ( $e=R=0$ ) corresponds to the cubic parent phase $F m \overline{3} m$, phase II ( $e \neq 0, R=$ 0 ) to a rhombohedral modification with $R \overline{3} m$ space group and phase III ( $e \neq 0, R \neq 0$ ) to the rhombohedral phase $R \overline{3}$ observed experimentally.

Figure 1 shows the phase diagram (represented in the $A_{1}, A_{2}$ plane) as determined from model (3) with $B^{\prime}>0\left(e_{\mathrm{s}}>0\right)$ and $H^{\prime}<0$ (see section 2.4 for the justification of the sign of $H^{\prime}$ ). This phase diagram can be compared with those previously determined from similar potentials [6-9].


Figure 1. The phase diagram obtained with the model potential (3), with $B^{\prime}>0$ and $H^{\prime}<0$. Full curves and broken curves represent first-order and second-order phase transitions, respectively.

Since the $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ transition is driven by octahedra rotations [2], we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=a_{2}\left(T-T_{0}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ is a positive constant and $T_{0}$ is the critical temperature. On the other hand, due to the 'improper' ferroelastic character of this transition [2], we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=C_{44}^{0}=\text { constant } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{44}^{0}$ is the 'bare' elastic constant associated with the $F_{2 g}$ strain. As usual, all other coefficients of the free-energy expansion are supposed to be temperature-independent. Now, from figure 1, it appears that a first-order transition I $\leftrightarrow$ III as observed experimentally is possible, provided that $A_{1}=C_{44}^{0}$ lies between the values corresponding to the points $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{2 B^{\prime 2}}{9 C^{\prime}}<A_{1}<\frac{2 B^{\prime 2}}{9 C^{\prime}}+\frac{2 H^{\prime 2}}{F^{\prime}}
$$

According to this choice for the coefficients, together with the fact that phase I is stable in a wide temperature range, phase II is not expected to occur at all; thus, the static and dynamic properties of the order parameters in phase II will not be developed in the following.

### 2.4. Static properties of the order parameters

In the parent phase $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} m)$, the spontaneous values of the order parameters $e$ and $R$ are always zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{s}}=R=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In phase III $\left(e_{\mathrm{s}} \neq 0, R \neq 0\right)$ with $R \overline{3}$ space group, the equilibrium values of $e$ and $R$ are determined from the set of equations (3) and (4). From (4), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(A_{2}+F^{\prime} R^{2}\right) /-2 H^{\prime} \quad \text { or } \quad R^{2}=\left(-2 H^{\prime} e_{\mathrm{s}}-A_{2}\right) / F^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the choice of $B^{\prime}>0$ we have adopted (equivalent to $e_{\mathrm{s}}>0$ ), it is clear that the stability conditions of phase III require, in particular, $H^{\prime}<0$.

Now, from equations (8), it is possible to write the potential (3) as a function of a unique variable, $e$ or $R$, which leads to an expansion up to the eighth order in $R$ or, more conveniently, up to the fourth order in $e$ :
$\Delta \Phi[T, e, R(e)]=\frac{1}{4} C^{\prime} e^{4}-\frac{1}{3} B^{\prime} e^{3}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1}-2 H^{\prime 2} / F^{\prime}\right) e^{2}-\left(H^{\prime} / F^{\prime}\right) A_{2} e-A_{2}^{2} / 4 F^{\prime}$.
The solution ( $e_{\mathrm{s}}>0$ ) of the cubic equation that minimises the potential (9) does not have a simple algebraic form and an approximate relation will be considered in section 3.1, in order to account more easily for the variation of $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ with temperature in phase III.

### 2.5. Susceptibilities of the order parameters

In the cubic phase $I$, the frequency of the triply degenerate rotatory soft mode with $F_{1 g}$ symmetry is given by $[10,11]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{1 g}}^{2}=\omega_{1}^{2}=\omega_{2}^{2}=\omega_{3}^{2}=\left(a_{2} / M_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\left(T-T_{0}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{E}$ is the effective mass of the oscillator.
Similarly, in the rhombohedral phase III $(R \overline{3})$, for the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mode one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}=\omega_{1}^{2}=\left(2 F^{\prime} / M_{\mathrm{E}}\right) R^{2} \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}=\omega_{1}^{2}=-\left(2 / M_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\left(A_{2}+2 H^{\prime} e_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the $E_{g}$ mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}=\omega_{2}^{2}=\omega_{3}^{2}=\left(1 / M_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\left[\frac{3}{2} A_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+F / F^{\prime}\right) R^{2}\right] \tag{12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}=\omega_{2}^{2}=\omega_{3}^{2}=\left(1 / M_{\mathrm{E}}\right)\left[\left(1-F / F^{\prime}\right) A_{2}-H^{\prime}\left(1+2 F / F^{\prime}\right) e_{\mathrm{s}}\right] . \tag{12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the adiabatic elastic constant $[11,12] C_{44}$ in the parent phase I is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{44}=C_{55}=C_{66}=A_{1}=C_{44}^{0} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in agreement with the experimental data [2]. Similarly, in the rhombohedral phase III it is

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{44}=C_{55}= & C_{66}=C_{44}^{0}+\left(C+\frac{2}{3} D\right) e_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \\
& -2 H^{\prime 2} R^{2} /\left(A_{2}+\left(F+\frac{2}{3} G\right) R^{2}\right)-H^{\prime 2} R^{2} /\left(\frac{2}{3} G R^{2}+H^{\prime} e_{5}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the elastic constants are defined according to the pseudo-cubic unit cell [1]. Unfortunately, no experimental data are available for $C_{44}$ in the rhombohedral phase.

### 2.6. The quasi-harmonic potential for the $\nu_{5}$ bending mode of the octahedra

As stated above (see section 2.1) the quasi-harmonic potential $V_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the $\nu_{5}$ 'hard' mode of the octahedra (symmetry $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ ), relative to the coordinate $Q[1]$, is developed in terms
of a harmonic potential $V_{\mathrm{h}}$, slightly perturbed by anharmonic coupling terms $V_{\mathrm{c}}$ between the coordinate $Q$ and the elastic strain components with the same symmetry:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{a}}\left(Q_{i}, e_{j}\right)=V_{\mathrm{h}}\left(Q_{i}\right)+V_{\mathrm{c}}\left(Q_{i}, e_{j}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to standard group-theoretical procedure, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mathrm{h}}\left(Q_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} M_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime} & \omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime 2}\left(Q_{1}^{2}+Q_{2}^{2}+Q_{3}^{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
V_{\mathrm{c}}\left(Q_{i}, e_{j}\right)= & I\left(Q_{1} e_{4}+Q_{2} e_{5}+Q_{3} e_{6}\right)+J\left(Q_{1} e_{5} e_{6}+Q_{2} e_{4} e_{6}+Q_{3} e_{4} e_{5}\right) \\
& + \\
& K\left(Q_{1} Q_{2} e_{6}+Q_{1} Q_{3} e_{5}+Q_{2} Q_{3} e_{4}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(Q_{1}^{2} e_{4}^{2}+Q_{2}^{2} e_{5}^{2}+Q_{3}^{2} e_{6}^{2}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& +N\left(Q_{1} Q_{2} e_{4} e_{5}+Q_{1} Q_{3} e_{4} e_{6}+Q_{2} Q_{3} e_{5} e_{6}\right)+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}$ are the effective mass and the frequency of the oscillator, respectively, $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ and $Q_{3}$ the three components of the triply degenerate coordinate $Q$, and $e_{4}, e_{5}$ and $e_{6}$ the elastic strain components with $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ symmetry (see equation (1)).

In the rhombohedral phase, $R \overline{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}= \pm Q_{2}= \pm Q_{3}= \pm(1 / \sqrt{3}) Q \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this potential, developed up to the fourth order (terms of the form $Q^{2} e^{2}$ ) is stable in this phase as long as $L+2 N>0$.

The equilibrium value of the coordinates $Q$ can be obtained by introducing $V_{\mathrm{a}}$ (15) in the thermodynamic potential (1). If we assume that the bilinear coupling terms of the form $Q e$ are predominant in (17) (higher-order coupling terms are neglected here), then we obtain a direct proportionality relation between $Q$ and $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the rhombohedral phase:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left(I / M_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime} \omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime 2}\right) e_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, in the cubic phase I, we have $Q=e_{\mathrm{s}}=0$. Note that this procedure leads to a small renormalisation of the $A_{1}$ coefficient in (3), which has been neglected in the preceding sections.

Taking account of equations (2), the frequency of the $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}} \nu_{5}$ mode in the cubic phase $\mathrm{Fm} \overline{3} m$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{1}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{2}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{3}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime 2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rhombohedral phase $R \overline{3}$, one obtains for the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\prime}}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{1}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 / M_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}\right)\left[2 K^{\prime} e_{\mathrm{s}}+(L+2 N) e_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ mode

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{2}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{3}^{\prime 2}=\omega_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime 2}+\left(1 / M_{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}\right)\left[-K^{\prime} e_{\mathrm{s}}+(L-N) e_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{\prime}=K / \sqrt{3}$.

## 3. Comparison with experimental data

The equations established in section 2 from the model potentials (1) and (15) must be able to reproduce the static properties and susceptibilities of the order parameter $e, R$ and $Q$, through the $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition. The discussion will now be focused on the case of $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$, where most of these quantities could be measured in both the
cubic and rhombohedral phases [1,2]. All fits have been performed with the help of computer programs using a least-squares and/or Newton-Raphson iterative method.

### 3.1. Approximate equation for the temperature dependence of the spontaneous strain $e_{s}$

As mentioned previously, a convenient algebraic form for the temperature dependence of the spontaneous strain $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the rhombohedral phase $R \overline{3}$ cannot be derived from equation (9) because of the $e^{3}$ cubic term in the free-energy expansion. Approximate relations have been considered already in the case of a similar potential developed for the 'trigger'-type [13] phase transition of benzyl [14].

In the case of $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ compounds, a first-order $F m \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ transition can be accounted for by a simplified potential of the form [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi(T, R, e)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left(T-T_{0}\right) R^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \beta R^{4}+\frac{1}{6} \gamma R^{6}+\frac{1}{2} C_{44}^{0} e^{2}-\lambda e R^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all coefficients are positive and constant.
It should be pointed out that this potential is incomplete. Indeed, the introduction of the $R^{6}$ term is equivalent to a development up to the third order in $e$, because of the coupling term $e R^{2}$, but at the same time, we neglect in (23) the $e^{3}$ invariant allowed by symmetry. Nevertheless, let us minimise (23) with respect to $e$ and $R$; then one obtains for the rhombohedral phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}+\left[\beta^{\prime 2}-4 \alpha \gamma\left(T-T_{0}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2 \gamma} \frac{\lambda}{C_{44}^{0}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=\left(C_{44}^{0} / \lambda\right) e_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\beta+\left(2 \lambda^{2} / C_{44}^{0}\right) \quad\left(\beta^{\prime}>0\right)
$$

In a first step, we have fitted the experimental values of the spontaneous strain $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$ (this is the quantity where the best accuracy is obtained) with the help of equation (24). The result obtained with the following set of coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mathrm{s}}=6.16 \times 10^{-3}+\left(6.843 \times 10^{-4}-2.40 \times 10^{-6} T\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is shown in figure 2; the agreement between calculated and observed values is quite satisfactory. However, the coefficients so obtained cannot be related to those appearing in the model potential (3). Clearly, relation (26) must be considered as a semi-empirical equation, able to reproduce the thermal evolution of $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the rhombohedral phase.

Now, from equation (26), we have tried to adjust the values of $R$ using relation (25). This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}\left(m^{2}\right)=5.82 \times 10^{-20} e_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in figure 3 (broken curve), the agreement with experimental data is rather poor; this is a clear illustration of the incompleteness of the potential (23). There is not a direct proportionality relation between $R^{2}$ and $e_{\mathrm{s}}$ as given by equation (25), which probably has to be related to the fact that the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Zr}) \mathrm{F}_{6}$ octahedra are not perfect rigid bodies [1].


Figure 2. The thermal evolution of the spontaneous strain in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [1] and the full curve represents the best fit according to equation (26).


Figure 3. The thermal evolution of the coordinate $R$ in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [1]; the broken curve and full curve represent the best fits according to equation (27) and equation (28), respectively.

Figure 4. The thermal evolution of the coordinate $Q$ in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [1] and the full curve represents the best fit according to equation (29).

### 3.2. The static properties of the order parameters $R$ and $Q$

With the help of the semi-empirical equation (26), it is now possible to fit all other interesting quantities, according to the model potential (1). So, the fit of $R$ values after relations (5), (8) and (26) leads to the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}\left(m^{2}\right)=5.68 \times 10^{-20} e_{\mathrm{s}}-0.76 \times 10^{-24}(T-205) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The agreement between calculated and measured values is now much more satisfactory (figure 3, full curve). From this fit, a number of coefficients have been evaluated, namely $T_{0}=205 \mathrm{~K}, a_{2} / F^{\prime}=0.76 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ and $H^{\prime} / F^{\prime}=-2.84 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; of course, all these values will be kept in following adjustments, when necessary.

Similarly, with the help of equations (19) and (26) one obtains for $Q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\mathrm{~m})=0.70 \times 10^{-10} e_{\mathrm{s}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are important errors in the measurements of $Q$ values; however, the general trend for the variations of $Q$ as a function of temperature is well reproduced by equation (29) (figure 4).

### 3.3. The frequencies of the soft rotatory mode

As mentioned previously [2], it was not possible to assign the two components of the soft rotatory mode of the octahedra in the phase $R \overline{3}$ in terms of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ symmetry.


Figure 5. The thermal evolution of the soft rotatory mode frequencies in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [2] and full curves represent the best fit according to equations (30), (31) and (32) ( $\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\underline{g}}}>\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{g}}$ in the rhombohedral phase).


Figure 6. The thermal evolution of the soft rotatory mode frequencies in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [2] and full curves represent the best fits according to equations (33), (34) and (35) ( $\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}>\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ in the rhombohedral phase).

Thus, the two possible assignments will be considered successively, i.e. $\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{g}}>\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{g}}>\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{g}}$. In both cases one has to take account of the direct proportionality relation existing between $\omega_{A_{0}}^{2}$ and $R^{2}$, as given by equation (11a). Then with the parameter $T_{0}=$ 205 K fixed (see section 3.2), the frequency $\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ is deduced from $\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}$ with the help of only one adjustable parameter, namely $F / F^{\prime}$ (see equations (12a) and (12b)).
(i) $\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}>\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}$. From equations (11), (12), (26) and (28), the best fits (figure 5) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=96 \times 10^{44} R^{2}=545 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}-73 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=149.6 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}+34.7 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

All coefficients so obtained fulfil the stability conditions of the potential (3) in the rhombohedral phase. Consequently, the frequency of the inactive $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ rotatory mode in the cubic phase, deduced from equation (10), is given by figure 5 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=36.5 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}>\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}}$. Following the same procedure, the best fits to the experimental data in the rhombohedral phase (figure 6) are now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{A_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=25 \times 10^{44} R^{2}=139 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}-19 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=553.8 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}-59.9 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the frequency of the $\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ mode in the cubic phase (figure 6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{18}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=9.5 \times 10^{20}(T-205) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be pointed out that lattice dynamics calculations made on simple fluorides such
as $\mathrm{AlF}_{3}$ in their rhombohedral structural modification $(R \overline{3} c)$ are in accordance with case (i) [15]. However, when considering our data alone, the choice between (i) and (ii) is not obvious, since both cases lead to good fits of the experimental results (figures 5 and 6 ), with coefficients that fulfil the stability conditions of phase $R \overline{3}$. In fact, case (i), with $F^{\prime} / F=0.05$, corresponds to a very anisotropic potential for the rotatory mode ( $F<G$ after equations (1) and (3)), whereas in case (ii), with $F / F^{\prime}=7.30$, this potential is much more isotropic ( $F>G$ ).

Finally, whatever the exact assignment may be, the inferred values for the soft rotatory mode frequencies in the cubic phase are always very small $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}} \simeq 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ at 300 K in case (i) and $\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Ig}}} \simeq 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at 300 K in case (ii)). This means that the corresponding potentials are rather flat, auguring for the existence of orientational disorder of the octahedra. Indeed, such a picture is in agreement with the x-ray diffuse scattering patterns observed with $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$ in the cubic phase [1]. Furthermore, it has been mentioned [2] that the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ components of the rotatory mode exhibit a considerable broadening in the rhombohedral phase, just below the transition temperature, which could be due to premonitory effects of octahedra disorder [2]. Then, because of disorder, the $F_{1 g}$ mode in the cubic phase may be expected to be heavily damped or of diffusive nature; since it is optically inactive, inelastic neutron scattering experiments are necessary to confirm such a hypothesis.

### 3.4. The splitting of the $\nu_{s}$ bending mode

According to previous data obtained with rhombohedral $\mathrm{CsSbF}_{6}$ single crystal [16], the $\nu_{5}$ component of highest frequency has $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ symmetry and the other one $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}$. By taking the same assignment in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$, the best fits to the experimental data according to equations (21), (22) and (26) gives in the rhombohedral phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\prime}}^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=56.03 \times 10^{24}-39.5 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}+458 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\prime}}^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=56.03 \times 10^{24}+19.5 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}+3345 \times 10^{24} e_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that in the cubic phase (equation (20))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}^{\prime}}^{\prime 2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-2}\right)=56.03 \times 10^{24} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stability condition $L+2 N>0$ is fulfilled and again the quality of the fits is quite satisfactory (figure 7).

## 4. Conclusions

In order to account for the $\operatorname{Fm} \overline{3} m \leftrightarrow R \overline{3}$ phase transition occurring in $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ ordered fluorides, we have developed a microscopic model that is able to reproduce consistently all experimental results obtained with $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. The octahedra rotation appears clearly to be the driving order parameter for the transition. Because of the three-dimensional arrangement of the octahedra, this rotation induces a set of internal strains, resulting in a small local deformation of the octahedra and, more significantly, to a rhombohedral distortion of the unit cell. The model takes account of all these phenomena. Then, the spontaneous strain that characterises the rhombohedral phase appears to be a secondary order parameter ('improper' ferroelastic phase transition).


Figure 7. The thermal evolution of the frequencies of the $\nu_{5}$ bending mode in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$. Circles are experimental points taken from [2] and full curves represent the best fit according to equations (36), (37) and (38).

The behaviour of the soft rotatory modes in $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$ is well reproduced, as well as the temperature-dependent splitting of the $\nu_{5}$ internal bending mode of the octahedra. Thus, the transition is essentially of displacive nature. However, an order-disorder contribution may also take place in the close vicinity of the transition temperature. Inelastic neutron scattering and neutron diffraction experiments on single crystals would be desirable to analyse such phenomena. Also, it should be pointed out that preliminary results obtained with $\mathrm{ZnZrF}_{6}[1,2,9]$ are not at variance with the model predictions. Clearly, there exists a strong analogy in the behaviour of $\mathrm{CoZrF}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{ZnZrF}_{6}$ but the fits from the model are less meaningful with $\mathrm{ZnZrF}_{6}[9]$ because a number of important experimental data are still missing.

Finally, the model with two coupled order parameters predicts the existence of another rhombohedral phase with space group $R \overline{3} m$, which has not been observed in $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{ZrF}_{6}$ fluorides, but seems to be stable in $\mathrm{BaSiF}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{BaGeF}_{6}[17,18]$. According to the present analysis, an eventual transition from $F m \overline{3} m$ to $R \overline{3} m$ would be 'proper' ferroelastic; thus, $\mathrm{BaSiF}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{BaGeF}_{6}$ are good candidates to probe further the phase diagram deduced from the model.
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